

# Zelenium: Browser Testing for Zope

presented to the  
2005 North American Plone Symposium  
2005/07/21

Tres Seaver  
Palladion Software  
tseaver@palladion.com

# Another Testing Framework?

- Gaps in coverage
- Different audiences need different kinds of tests

# Current Test Coverage

- Unit tests exercise components in isolation
- Integration tests exercise “assemblies”
- Functional tests exercise “slices” of the system, according to usage
- System tests exercise the configured system as a whole
- The further we go “up”, the poorer our coverage (generally)

# Qui custodiet custodians?

- Tests verify system functionality
  - who verifies tests?
- Nearer the “surface” of an application, user verification becomes more important
- Traditional spellings are aimed at programmers, not users

# Browser Tests Address Gaps

- Web applications are increasingly pushing more behavior into the browser
  - “AJAX” (Javascript + XML/RPC)
  - “Deferred page assembly”
- Traditional testing cannot exercise this functionality well
- Server-side testing which “emulates” browsers may yield false confidence

# Other Advantages

- Cross-browser compatibility tests
  - Browsers are a major source of bugs!
- Test specifications users understand
  - Shared understanding increases acceptance, productivity
  - “FIT” project results
- Bug reporting
  - Blue sky: record user reproducing bug, generate test case

# Walkthrough: Testing the CMF

- [http://localhost:8081/cm\\_f\\_tests/workflow](http://localhost:8081/cm_f_tests/workflow)

# Navigating the Selenium UI

- “Dashboard” consisting of
  - Test Suite <iframe>
  - Test Case <iframe>
  - Control Panel <form>
  - “Application-under-Test” (AUT) <iframe>

# Anatomy of a Test Case

- Each test case is a simple HTML page, containing a 3-column table
  - First row is ignored (useful for documentation)
  - Subsequent rows consist of triples:  
VERB | TARGET | DATA
  - Each row is either an “action” or an “assertion”
- Triples are spelled using a FIT-inspired language, “Selenese”

# Selenese Action Verbs

- click, clickAndWait
  - target may be any “clickable” item
- select, selectAndWait
  - target is normally a `<select>` widget
- type
  - target is an `<input>` or `<textarea>` widget
- open
  - target is a URL (as if typed in location bar)
  - Avoid overuse: users don't type there!

# Selenese Assertion Verbs

- `verifyTextPresent`, `verifyTextNotPresent`
- `verifyElementPresent`,  
`verifyElementNotPresent`
- `'assert*'` variants halt the test on failure;  
`'verify*'` variants record failure and continue

# Generating Test Cases

- tcpwatch records “wire-level” information
  - Artifacts make “intent” of user hard to infer
  - Ideally, browser-based “gesture” recording might help
- Zelenium provides generator.py
  - Generated test cases often require large-scale fixups

# Authoring Test Cases

- Authoring tests can be specification
  - “Fleshing out” use cases
- Simple HTML format, easy to manage in text editor
  - or with tools like Composer

# Wrapping Selenium for Zope

- Maik Roeder's Plone wrapper
  - Selenium core application mapped to skins
  - Designed to ship with Plone
  - Favors test cases generated from Python
- Zelenium
  - No Plone / CMF dependency
  - CMF will have them soon
  - Favors “static” test cases
    - don't want to test the tests!

# Zelenium Features

- Allows prototyping test cases in the ZMI
- Generates “test suite” tables
- Allows recursive test suites
- Allows including test cases from the filesystem

# Zelenium Features (cont'd)

- Capture results, including server-side data
  - '?auto=true' query string trigger
  - Results captured in an object which generates summary report
- Generate test cases from tcpwatch logs
  - Generated versions often need tweaking
- Export test suites as ZIP files
  - Optionally, include Selenium core

# Setting up the Test Environment

- Install Zelenium / ExternalEditor products
- Add Zuite instance
- Populate with File instances
- Point at filesystem using property

# Issue: Avoiding Test Artifacts

- “Throwaway” site
  - But may need some “known state”
- Teardown code
  - Messy, easy to omit something
- DemoStorage can provide best of both:
  - Underlying storage can have “known state”
  - Teardown is simply restarting appserver

# Configuring DemoStorage

- Wrap `<demostorage>` around normal storage
  - `<zodb_db main>`
    - `mount-point /`
    - `<demostorage>`
      - `<zeoclient>`
        - `server localhost:8100`
        - `storage 1`
        - `name zeostorage`
        - `var $INSTANCE/demo_var`
      - `</zeoclient>`
    - `</demostorage>`
  - `</zodb_db>`

# DemoStorage and ZEO

- “ZEO: don't leave home without it”
  - allows you to make persistent changes to underlying storage
  - debugging on the fly
  - Zope 2.7.6 / ZODB3 3.2.8 fixes bug in DemoStorage-around-ZEO interaction

# Resources

- “Selenium site”, <http://selenium.thoughtworks.com>
- “Zelenium product”,  
<http://www.zope.org/Members/tseaver/Zelenium>
- “FIT: Framework for Integrated Test”,  
<http://fit.c2.com/wiki.cgi>
- Tres Seaver, [tseaver@palladion.com](mailto:tseaver@palladion.com)